

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,976	08/02/2000	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920000102 7828	
7590 03/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Gero G McClellan			DUONG, OANH L	
Thomason Moser & Patterson LLP Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Boulevard Houston, TX 77056-6582			2155 DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1an			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
	09/630,976	BATES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
	Oanh L. Duong	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	<u>bruary 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-10,12-18 and 26-33 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-33 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-10,12-18 and 26-30 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 2155

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claim 1, 3-10, 12-18 and 26-30 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2155

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12-16, 18 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pickover (US 6,057,834)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claims 1, Pickover teaches a method for configuring a browser program executable on a computer connected to a network computers, wherein the browser program is configurable according to at least two predetermined time-based browser settings (Fig.1, col. 9 lines 5-9), the method comprising

providing a different predetermined time-value (i.e., different schedule or update values) for each of the at least two predetermined time based browser settings (scheduling times of one or more web pages, col. §4 lines 13-49);

determining whether either of the different predetermined time-values is satisfied with respect to a current time (col. 2 lines 47-67 and col. 8 lines 57-65);

and if so, configuring the browser program with the one of the at least two browser settings corresponding to the satisfied predetermined time-value (col. 2 lines 47-67 and col. 7 lines 28-37).

Regarding claim 10, the signal-bearing medium containing a program for configuring a browser program of claim 10 has a corresponding method of claim 1; therefore, claim 10 is rejected under the same rationale as applied to claim 1.

Regarding claim 26, a web browser of claim 26 has a corresponding method of claim 1; therefore, claim 26 is rejected under the same rationale as applied to claim 1.

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Pickover teaches predetermined time-values are user-defined (col. 6 lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Pickover teaches predetermined time-values are a day and time of day (col. 3 lines 32-47).

Application/Control Number: 09/630,976 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 5, 14 and 27, Pickover teaches at least one toolbar configuration (menu, col. 2 lines 54-58).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Pickover teaches the toolbar configuration comprises at least one configuration selected from the group consisting of a standard toolbar, a navigation toolbar, and address toolbar, and a user-defined toolbar (col. 6 lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Pickover teaches a setting for at least one previous visited network address accessed by the browser program (favorite URL, col. 2 lines 56-58).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, Pickover teaches network addresses are stored as bookmarks (Microsoft Internet Explorer browser, address(es) is/are stored in bookmark/favorite/history folders, col. 2 lines 56-58).

Regarding claims 28- 30, Pickover teaches each of the plurality of time-based browser settings specifies a different homepage network address (col. 3 lines 3 lines 32-41).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Pickover view of Huck (US 5,970,230).

Art Unit: 2155

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Pickover teaches receiving at least one electronic document containing at least one network address (col. 6 lines 5-13).

Pickover does not explicitly teach manipulating information.

Huck, in the same field of endeavor, teaches determining whether the network address within the electronic document is the at least one previously visited network address (e.g., see col. 3 line 37-col. 4 line 14); and if so rendering the electronic

Art Unit: 2155

· 2155

document in a manner indicating the network addresses within the document as being visited (e.g., see col. 6 lines 4-36). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the manipulating step of Huck in configuring the browser in Pickover because such manipulation would enable the browser to dynamically link back to the referring pages. Thus, customized page(s) would highly be provided.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oanh L. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.D

March 9, 2004

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7